

GENERAL AND SPECIFIC COMBINING ABILITY FOR WHITE MAIZE INBRED LINES FOR GRAIN YIELD AND ITS RELATED TRAITS

Ibrahim A.I. El-Gazar*; H.A.A. Mohamed and A.S.M. El-Deeb

Dept. Maize, Field Crops Inst., Agric. Res. Cent., Egypt.

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received: 15/06/2024 Revised: 06/07/2024 Accepted: 01/08/2024

Keywords: Maize, General Combining Ability, Specific Combining Ability.



sustaining agricultural production, especially in the context of rapid climate change and a growing global population. By using line \times tester design, fourteen white maize inbred lines derived from different sources were crossed by three inbred lines as testers; Sd43, Sk13 and Sk5 at Sakha Agricultural Research Station during 2022 growing season. The resulting 42 F1 single cross in addition to one commercial check hybrid (SC.10) were evaluated at three locations; Sakha, Nubaria and Sids Agricultural Research Stations in 2023 growing season. Mean squares due to locations, lines, testers and lines \times testers interactions were significant or highly significant for most of the studied traits. The desirable general combining ability (GCA) effects were assigned for inbred lines; Sk5004/21, Sk5007/32 and tester Sk5 for earliness, short plant heights and ear heights, inbred line Sk5004/23 and tester Sk 3 for grain yield and ear length, and SK 5007/33 for grain yield and ear diameter. The best hybrids for SCA effects were Sk 5004/22 \times SK13 for earliness, SK5005/30 \times Sd 43 for short plant height, SK 5004/20 x Sd43 for grain yield SK 5005/29 × SK 13 for ear length and SK 5004/26 × Sk 5 for ear diameter. Six hybrids (Sk5004/20 × Sd43), (Sk5005/26 × Sk13), (Sk5005/27 × Sk13), (Sk5005/31 \times Sd43), (Sk5007/33 \times Sd43) and (Sk5007/33 \times Sk13) were significantly out-yielded the check SC10. These promising single hybrids are valuable and could be used in maize breeding programs for high yield.

Developing high-yielding and heterotic maize hybrids is essential for

INTRODUCTION

Maize, (Zea mays L.), holds a dominant position as a staple crop worldwide, serving as a crucial source of food security for billions. Its significance extends beyond direct human consumption, as it plays a key role in animal feed production and various industrial applications (**Tanumihardjo** et al, 2020). However, in the face of a growing global population and the constant need for increased yields, maize breeders are continuously exploring innovative strategies to boost productivity. One such effective tool utilized in hybrid breeding programs is the concept of combining ability (Fasahat et al., 2016). The concept of combining ability involves analyzing the performance of inbred maize lines, which serve as the foundation for hybrids, by breaking it down into two main components: general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) (Sprague and Tatum, 1942). Line by tester mating design was developed by Kempthorne (1957). which provided reliable information on the general combining ability effects of parents and their hybrids combinations. GCA represents the inherent capacity of an inbred line to positively contribute across different crosses. For instance, a line that consistently produces high-yielding offspring regardless

* Corresponding author: E-mail address: hanymageed@yahoo.com https://doi.org/10.21608/sinjas.2025.304703.1279

2024 SINAI Journal of Applied Sciences. Published by Fac. Environ. Agric. Sci., Arish Univ. All rights reserved.

of its partner demonstrates strong general combining ability. On the other hand, specific combining ability assesses the unique performance displayed by specific combinations of inbred lines. In these cases, certain pairings of lines synergistically unlock exceptional performance that is not observed when crossed with other lines (Pavan et al., 2011). By comprehending and leveraging these combining abilities, maize breeders are able to strategically select parental lines. Breeders can create superior maize hybrids optimized for yield, stress tolerance, and other desirable qualities by focusing on lines with strong GCA and selecting pairings with high SCA (Habiba et al., 2022). This focused strategy has significant potential for guaranteeing food security and building a more sustainable agricultural future.

Therefore, this study was undertaken with the following objectives: (1) to calculates the particular combining ability impacts of crosses and the general combining ability effects of lines and tests for grain yield and its constituent parts. (2) to identify the best crosses compared with the check.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials

Fourteen new white maize inbred lines derived from different genetic sources at Sakha Agricultural Research Station namely, Sk5004/19, Sk5004/20, Sk5004/21, Sk5004/22, Sk5004/23. Sk5005/25, Sk5005/26. Sk5005/27. Sk5005/28. Sk5005/29. Sk5005/30, Sk5007/31, Sk5007/32 and Sk5007/33.

Experimental locations and growing seasons

These inbred lines were crossed to three testers inbred lines; Sd-43, Sk-13and Sk-5 during 2022 summer growing season. In 2023, the 42 crosses and one check hybrid Sc.10 were evaluated at three locations;

Sakha, Nubaria and Sids Agricultural Research Stations.

Experimental design and its management

Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications was used at each location. Plot size was one row, 6 m long and 0.8 m a part. Seeds were planted in hills evenly spaced at 0.25 m along the row at the rate of two kernels hill⁻¹, which thinned to one plant hill⁻¹ after 21 days from planting. All cultural practices for maize production were applied as recommended at the proper time.

Data Recorded

Data recorded were, days to 50% silking date, plant height (cm), ear height (cm), ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm), and grain yield (GY ard fed⁻¹) adjusted to 15.5% grain moisture content, (one ard=140 kg and one feddan =4200 m²).

Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variances was performed for the combined data across three locations according to **Sendecore and Cochran** (**1989**). Calculation of variances analysis was carried out by using computer application of statical analysis system (**SAS**, **2008**) when the differences between crosses were significant, hence line x tester analysis was done according to **Kempthorne** (**1957**) using the AGD-R statistical software version 5.0 (**Rodriguez** *et al.*, **2015**).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of Variances

The combined analysis of variance across three locations for six traits is presented in Table 1. Results show highly significant differences among the three locations for all traits, indicating the presence of variation among the locations

SOV	d.f	Days to 50% silking	Plant height	Ear height	Grain yield	Ear length	Ear diameter
Location (Loc)	2	442.4**	110449.8**	19490.9**	1751.1**	760.7**	24.52**
Rep/Loc	6	4.9	941.5	542.9	23.1	5.8	0.06
Hybrids (H)	41	24.5**	1693.3**	607.1**	83.5**	8.7**	0.10**
Line (L)	13	46.2**	2590.9**	844.2**	118.0**	12.6**	0.19**
Tester (T)	2	109.6**	13952.7**	4914.9**	426.0**	54.9**	0.04
Line × Tester(L x T)	26	7.0**	301.5**	157.1**	40.0**	3.2*	0.06**
Hybrids×Loc(HxL)	82	4.9**	189.9*	90.7	23.9**	3.2**	0.06**
Line× Loc (L x Loc)	26	8.1**	254.2**	140.5*	23.9**	3.8**	0.08**
Tester× Loc	4	8.4**	186.3	91.0	121.1**	13.5**	0.22**
(L x T x Loc)	52	3.0**	158.0	65.8	16.4**	2.1	0.04
Error	246	1.2	138.5	82.0	9.2	2.0	0.03

Table 1. Analysis of variances for six traits of maize across three locations during 2023 season

*, **significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

in both of climatic and soil conditions for these traits. Highly significant differences among hybrids (H) for all traits, indicating that hybrids had a wide genetic diversity among themselves for these traits providing opportunity for selection. Significant or highly significant mean squares were observed for lines (L), Testers (T) and their interaction $(L \times T)$ for all traits, except (T) for ear diameter meaning that great diversity exists among inbred lines and among testers; also indicating that the inbred lines performed differently in their crosses depending on the type of testers used for these traits. These results are in agreement with those reported by several authors such as; Singh et al. (2017), Rajesh et al. (2018), Darshan and Marker (2019), Abebe et al. (2020), Mohamed (2020) Abd El-Azeem et al. (2021), Abu et al. (2021), Mousa et al. (2021), Abd El-Azeem et al. (2022 and Nigus (2022). The interaction of hybrids × locations and their partitions *i.e.*, $L \times Loc$, $T \times loc$ and $L \times T \times$ Loc were significant or highly significant for all studied traits except $(H \times Loc)$ for ear height, $(T \times Loc)$ for plant and ear heights and $L \times T \times Loc$ for plant and ear heights, ear length and ear diameter, meaning that the hybrids and their partition (L,T and L x T) differed in their order from location to another, in most traits.

Mean Performance

Table 2 presented mean performance of the 42 hybrids and one check single cross (SC 10) for six traits combined across three locations. Mean values of hybrids; for days to 50% silking ranged from 62.0 days for Sk5007/32 \times Sk5 to 68.6 for Sk5004/22 \times Sd43, furthermore, hybrids were 19 significantly earlier than the check hybrid, for plant height, varied from 224.7 cm for Sk5004/21 \times Sk5 to 281.2 cm for Sk5005/31 Х Sd43.33 hybrid were significant short plant height than check SC 10 hybrid for ear height ranged from 117.3 cm for Sk 5004/21 x Sk 5 to 156 cm for Sk 5005/31 x Sd 43, 25 hybrids were significant for short ear height than check SC 10, for grain yield revealed that the highest hybrid Sk5004/20 × Sd43 (34.3

458

	Davs to	Plant		Grain	Ear	Ear
Hybrid	50%	height	Ear height	vield	length	diameter
	silking	(cm)	(cm)	(ard/fed)	(cm)	(cm)
Sk5004/19 × Sd43	68 3	273 3	140.0	31.9	20.4	4 73
$sk5004/19 \times sk13$	66.7	259.4	138.7	29.9	20.6	4 76
Sk5004/19× Sk5	65.4	257.8	124.8	30.1	19.1	4 78
$Sk5004/20 \times Sd43$	65.9	237.0	143.1	34.3	18.7	4.70
$Sk5004/20 \times Sk13$	66.7	244.3	120.9	29.2	19.8	4 69
Sk5004/20 × Sk5	65.2	244.3	118.8	27.2	19.0	4.67
Sk5004/20 × Sk5	67.1	251.3	139.4	24.3	18.2	4.67
$Sk5004/21 \times Sk13$	64.6	221.5	121.7	25.5	19.2	4.60
$Sk5004/21 \times Sk5$	62.7	220.0 224.7	117.3	23.5	17.9	4.00
$Sk5004/21 \times Sk5$ Sk5004/22 × Sd43	68.6	224.7 278 4	141.9	30.3	20.7	4.71
$Sk5004/22 \times Sk13$	65 A	270.4	132.7	28.6	20.7	4.71
$Sk5004/22 \times Sk15$ Sk5004/22 × Sk5	67.9	255.0	132.7	20.0	10.0	4.70
Sk5004/22 × Sk5 Sk5004/23 × Sd43	64.2	207.2	136.8	20.2	20.5	4.05
$Sk5004/23 \times Su43$ Sk5004/23 \times Sk13	64.2	255.1	128.0	31.0	20.5	4.70
Sk5004/23 × Sk15 Sk5004/23 × Sk5	63.1	253.1	120.7	31.5	21.0	4.73
Sk5004/25 × Sk5 Sk5004/25 × Sd43	66.9	238.0	1/18 1	30.3	20.0	4.00
Sk5004/25 × Sk13	65.9	275.1	130 1	30.3	19.8	4.73
Sk5004/25 × Sk15 Sk5004/25 × Sk5	64.2	243.7	135.1	30.1	19.0	4.31
Sk5004/23 × Sk5 Sk5005/26 × Sd43	67 A	250.0	1/3 0	27.0	19.5	4.82
Sk5005/20 × Su45 Sk5005/26 × Sk13	67.2	207.2	133.0	27.0	20.1	4.71
Sk5005/20 × Sk15 Sk5005/26 × Sk5	67.0	255.1	133.2	26.0	18.6	4.71
$SK5005/20 \times SK5$ $SL5005/27 \times Sd/3$	67.0	259.1	132.0	20.0	20.0	4.71
$SK5005/27 \times SU45$ $SL5005/27 \times SL13$	67.2	203.7	133.8	20.0	20.0	4.04
$Sk5005/27 \times Sk15$ Sk5005/27 × Sk5	64.7	235.0	129.4	26.1	10.2	4.93
Sk5005/27 × Sk5 Sk5005/28 × Sd/3	65.8	245.1	120.8	20.1	19.2	4.78
Sk5005/28 × Su45 Sk5005/28 × Sk13	66.2	200.8	130.2	20.2	20.0	4.04
Sk5005/20 × Sk15 Sk5005/28 × Sk5	63.0	256.5	130.2	28.6	18.7	4.70
Sk5005/20 × Sk5	68 4	235.7	130.5	20.0	18.8	4.64
$Sk5005/29 \times Sk13$	66 4	240.1	130.6	29.1	21.7	4.64
$Sk5005/29 \times Sk5$	66 1	230.5	123.4	24.0	19.4	4.69
Sk5005/20 × Sd43	66.3	2523	125.4	27.3	20.2	4.59
Sk5005/30× Sk13	66.9	232.3	135.1	31.8	20.2	4.50
Sk5005/30× Sk5	64.3	249.2	130.9	267	20.2	4 56
Sk5005/31 × Sd43	68.2	249.2	156.0	33.7	20.2	4.30
$Sk5005/31 \times Sk13$	66.2	257.3	141 3	30.0	20.0	4 67
$Sk5005/31 \times Sk5$	66 1	254.0	136.9	28.2	19.4	4 73
Sk5007/32 × Sd43	63.8	265.9	131.7	29.7	20.6	4 62
$Sk5007/32 \times Sk13$	62.7	236.9	121.2	30.2	21.4	4 76
$Sk5007/32 \times Sk5$	62.0	239.6	123.2	27.1	19.4	4.82
Sk5007/33 × Sd43	66.2	272.4	137.7	33.7	20.2	4 91
Sk5007/33 × Sk13	64 7	251.6	124.7	33.4	19.8	4 91
$Sk5007/33 \times Sk5$	65.4	265.9	132.7	29.4	20.6	4.73
Check SC10	67.1	279.3	143.2	29.9	20.3	4.56
LSD 0.05	1.0	10.9	8.3	2.8	1.3	0.16
0.01	1.3	14.4	10.9	3.7	1.7	0.21

Table 2. Mean performances of 42 crosses and one check cross for six studied traits across three locations

ard/fed), while the lowest one was (20.2 ard/fed) for Sk5004/22 \times Sk5. six hybrids (Sk5004/20×Sd43,Sk5005/26×Sk13,Sk5005/27 ×Sk13, Sk5005/31×Sd43, Sk5007/33 ×Sd43, and Sk5007/33 \times Sk13) were significantly outyielded check single cross 10. These results suggest that use of these six hybrids as good single crosses for maize breeding programs, for ear length ranged from 17.9 cm for Sk5004/21 \times Sk5 to 22.8 cm for Sk5005/30 \times Sk13, three hybrids were significant increased than SC 10, for ear diameter, ranged from 4.51 cm for Sk5004/25 \times Sk13 to 4.93 cm for Sk5005/27 \times Sk13, with 22 hybrids were increased significantly than the check. From above results the best hybrid was SK 5007/32 x SK 5 for earliness, SK 5004/21 x SK 5 for plant and ear height, SK 5004/20 x Sd 43 for grain yield, SK 5005/30 x SK 13 for ear length, and SK 5005/27 x SK 13 for ear diameter.

General Combining Ability Effects (GCA)

Estimates of general combining ability effects of fourteen inbred lines and three testers for six traits across three locations

are presented in Table 3. Results show that for days to 50% silking four lines Sk5004/21, Sk 5004/23, Sk5005/28 and Sk5007/32 were desirable for earliness, meanwhile the desirable inbred lines Sk5004/21. Sk5005/29. Sk5005/29, Sk5005/30 and Sk5007/32 for short plant height, Sk5004/20, Sk5004/21, Sk5005/27, Sk5005/29 and 5007/32 for short ear height. Sk5004/19, Sk5004/23, Sk5005/31 and S 5007/33 for grain yield. Sk5004/23, Sk5005/30, for ear length, and Sk5005/27, Sk5005/28 and Sk5007/33 for ear diameter. The best tester for general combining ability (GCA) effects was Sk-5 for earliness, short plant and ear height, Sd 43 and Sk13 for grain yield and Sk 13 for ear length. These lines could be used in maize Similar results breeding program. of desirable GCA effects for inbred lines were reported for many researchers (El-Shenawy et al., 2009: Abraha et al., 2013: Assefa et al., 2016; Ejigu et al., 2017; Mohamed 2020; Abd El-Azeem et al., 2022).

 Table 3. General combining ability effects for 14 inbred lines and three testers for six studied traits across three locations

Hybrid	Days to 50% silking	Plant height	Ear height	Grain yield	Ear length	Ear diameter
Sk5004/19	1.02**	7.56**	1.84	1.49*	0.06	0.029
Sk5004/20	0.13	0.48	-5.05**	1.13	-0.97**	-0.045
Sk5004/21	-1.02**	-21.96**	-6.49**	-4.70**	-1.48**	-0.075*
Sk5004/22	1.50**	11.78**	2.76	-2.77**	0.42	-0.001
Sk5004/23	-1.94**	8.89**	-1.01	2.31**	0.75**	-0.030
Sk5004/25	-0.13	0.37	8.43**	1.08	0.01	-0.038
Sk5005/26	1.43**	4.52*	3.43*	-0.55	-0.45	0.051
Sk5005/27	0.50*	-1.85	-4.64**	0.33	0.05	0.125**
Sk5005/28	-0.50*	4.30	-0.68	0.03	-0.55*	0.088**
Sk5005/29	1.21**	-14.48**	-4.27*	-2.23**	0.03	-0.067*
Sk5005/30	0.06	-6.63**	1.84	-0.51	1.12**	-0.186**
Sk5005/31	1.06**	8.22**	12.10**	1.48**	0.21	0.014
Sk5007/32	-2.98**	-8.52**	-7.27**	-0.15	0.55*	0.007
Sk5007/33	-0.35	7.33**	-0.98	3.04**	0.25	0.125**
Tester Sd43	0.94**	12.07**	7.02**	0.74**	-0.04	0.020
Tester Sk13	-0.01	-7.26**	-2.10**	1.35**	0.68**	-0.017
Tester Sk5	-0.93**	-4.81**	-4.93**	-2.09**	-0.64**	-0.003
LSD g _i L 0.05	0.41	4.44	3.42	1.14	0.53	0.065
0.01	0.54	5.84	4.50	1.51	0.70	0.086
LSD g _i T 0.05	0.19	2.05	1.58	0.53	0.25	0.030
0.01	0.25	2.70	2.08	0.70	0.33	0.040

*, ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

Specific Combining Ability Effects (SCA)

Estimates of specific combining ability effects of 42 top crosses for six traits combined across three locations is presented in Table 4. Results reveal that the desirable crosses for SCA effects were Sk5004/20×Sd43, Sk5004/21×Sk-5, Sk 5004 /22 × Sk-13 and Sk5007/33×Sk-13for earliness, Sk5005/30×Sd43 for short plant height, Sk5004/20×Sd43, Sk5004/22× Sd43, Sk5004/23×Sk5, Sk5005/26×Sk13, Sk5005/27×Sk13 and Sk5005/31×Sd43 for high grain yield, Sk5005/29×Sk13, Sk5005/ 30 × Sk13 and Sk 5007/33 x Sk 5 for ear length , and Sk5004/25×Sk5 and Sk5005/26 × Sk13 for ear diameter.

 Table 4. Specific combining ability effects of 42 crosses for six studied traits combined across three locations

Harbaid	Days to 50%	Plant	Ear	Grain	Ear	For Bonnator	
Нургіа	silking	height	height	yield	length	Ear diameter	
Sk5004/19 × Sd43	0.58	-2.25	-1.51	0.50	0.39	-0.042	
Sk5004/19 × Sk13	-0.14	3.18	6.28*	-2.04*	-0.11	0.017	
Sk5004/19× Sk5	-0.44	-0.93	-4.78	1.54	-0.28	0.025	
Sk5004/20 × Sd43	-0.97**	9.15*	8.49**	3.27**	-0.25	-0.012	
Sk5004/20 × Sk13	0.75*	-4.85	-4.61	-2.42*	0.16	0.024	
Sk5004/20 × Sk5	0.22	-4.30	-3.89	-0.85	0.08	-0.012	
Sk5004/21 × Sd43	1.40**	5.26	6.27*	-0.50	-0.26	-0.027	
Sk5004/21 × Sk13	-0.21	-0.74	-2.39	-0.32	0.15	-0.035	
Sk5004/21 × Sk5	-1.18**	-4.52	-3.89	0.82	0.11	0.062	
$Sk5004/22 \times Sd43$	0.32	-1.37	-0.54	3.20**	0.41	-0.034	
Sk5004/22 × Sk13	-1.84**	-4.93	-0.65	0.89	-0.40	0.069	
Sk5004/22 × Sk5	1.52**	6.29	1.19	-4.09**	-0.01	-0.034	
Sk5004/23 × Sd43	-0.57	3.97	-1.88	-1.43	-0.12	0.040	
Sk5004/23 × Sk13	0.38	-2.48	-0.65	-0.85	0.18	0.054	
Sk5004/23 × Sk5	0.19	-1.48	2.52	2.28**	-0.06	-0.094	
Sk5004/25 × Sd43	0.29	4.71	0.01	-0.64	0.70	0.025	
Sk5004/25 × Sk13	0.23	-3.19	0.13	-1.31	-0.87	-0.161**	
Sk5004/25 × Sk5	-0.52	-1.52	-0.15	1.95	0.18	0.136*	
Sk5005/26 × Sd43	-0.71	-3.33	-0.10	-2.29*	0.31	0.114*	
Sk5005/26 × Sk13	0.01	-0.11	-0.76	2.74**	-0.10	-0.050	
Sk5005/26 × Sk5	0.71	3.44	0.85	-0.45	-0.21	-0.064	
Sk5005/27 × Sd43	-0.23	-2.51	-1.25	-1.42	0.03	-0.027	
Sk5005/27 × Sk13	0.93*	6.70	3.54	2.67**	0.10	0.098	
$Sk5005/27 \times Sk5$	-0.70	-4.19	-2.29	-1.25	-0.13	-0.071	
$Sk5005/28 \times Sd43$	-0.46	-5.55	-3.65	-1.71	0.12	0.010	
Sk5005/28 × Sk13	0.93*	5.33	0.35	0.21	-0.10	-0.042	
Sk5005/28 × Sk5	-0.48	0.22	3.30	1.50	-0.02	0.032	
Sk5005/29 × Sd43	0.51	-7.44	-4.28	-0.04	-1.13*	-0.034	
Sk5005/29 × Sk13	-0.55	4.11	4.28	0.85	1.08*	0.002	
Sk5005/29 × Sk5	0.04	3.33	0.00	-0.81	0.06	0.032	
$Sk5005/30 \times Sd43$	-0.46	-9.07*	-4.06	-2.04*	-0.82	0.017	
Sk5005/30× Sk13	1.04**	4.37	2.72	1.83	1.05*	-0.035	
Sk5005/30× Sk5	-0.59	4.70	1.34	0.21	-0.23	0.017	
Sk5005/31 × Sd43	0.43	4.97	4.24	2.36*	0.47	0.062	
Sk5005/31 × Sk13	-0.62	0.40	-1.31	-2.00	-0.39	-0.057	
Sk5005/31 × Sk5	0.19	-5.37	-2.92	-0.36	-0.07	-0.005	
Sk5007/32 × Sd43	0.03	6.38	-0.73	-0.07	0.15	-0.131*	
Sk5007/32 × Sk13	-0.14	-3.30	-2.05	-0.13	0.28	0.039	
Sk5007/32 × Sk5	0.11	-3.08	2.78	0.20	-0.43	0.092	
Sk5007/33 × Sd43	-0.16	-2.92	-1.02	0.80	0.01	0.040	
Sk5007/33 × Sk13	-0.77*	-4.48	-4.90	-0.12	-1.03*	0.076	
SK5007/33 × Sk5	0.93*	7.40	5.93*	-0.69	1.02*	-0.116*	
LSD Sij 0.05	0.72	7.69	5.92	1.98	0.92	0.113	
0.01	0.94	10.12	7.79	2.61	1.22	0.149	

*, ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

REFFERENCES

- Abd El-Azeem, M.E.M.; Aly, R.S.H.; El Sayed, W.M. and Noura, A.H. (2021). Combining ability and gene action using 10×10 diallel crosses of ten maize inbred lines (Zea *mays* L.). J. Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ. 12: 1205-1211.
- Abd El-Azeem, M.E.M.; Abd El-Mottalb, A.A.; Aly, R.S.H.; El-Sayed, W.M. and Mohamed, E.I.M. (2022). Combining ability of some new yellow maize inbred lines by using line × tester analysis. J. Advances in Agric. Res. (JAAR), 27: 442-448.
- Abebe, A.; Wolde, L. and Gebreselassie,
 W. (2020). Standard heterosis and trait associated of maize inbred lines using line × tester mating design in Ethiopia. Afr. J. Plant Sci., 14 (4):192-204.
- Abu, P.; Badu-Apraku, B.; Ifie, B.E.; Tongoona, P.; Ribeiro, P.F.; Obeng-Bio, E. and Offei, S.K. (2021). Genetics of extra-early maturing yellow and orange quality protein maize inbreds and derived hybrids under low soil nitrogen and Striga in-festation. Crop Sci., 61:1052-1072.
- Abraha, S.W.; Zeleke, H.Z. and Gissa, DW. (2013). Line × tester analysis of maize inbred lines for grain yield and yield related traits. Asian J. Plant Sci. and Res., 3:12-19.
- Assefa, Y.; Vara Prasad, P.V.; Carter, P.; Hinds, M.; Bhalla, G. and Schon, R. (2016). Yield responses to planting density for US modern corn hybrids: a synthesis-analysis. Crop Sci., 56; 2802–2817.
- **Darshan, S.S. and Marker, S. (2019).** Heterosis and combining ability for grain yield and its component characters in quality protein maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids. Elect. J. Plant Breed., 10: 111-118.

- Ejigu, Y.G.; Tongoona, P.B. and Ifie, B.E. (2017). General and specific combining ability studies of selected tropical white maize inbred lines for yield and yield related traits. Int. J. Agric. Sci. and Res. (IJASR), 7:381-396.
- El-Shenawy, A.A.; Mosa, H.E. and Motawei, A.A. (2009). Combining Ability of nine white maiz (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines in diallel crosses and Stability Parameters of their Single Crosses. J. Agric. Res. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ., 35 (4): 940-953.
- Fasahat, P.; Rajabi, A. and Rad, J.M. (2016). Principles and utilization of combining ability in plant breeding. Biom. Biostat. Int. J., 4:1-22.
- Habiba, R.M.M.; El-Diasty, M.Z. and Aly, R.S.H. (2022). Combining abilities and genetic parameters for grain yield and some agronomic traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Beni-Suef Univ. J. Basic. Appl. Sci., 11: 108-116.
- Kempthorne, O. (1957). An Introduction to Genetic Statistics. John Wiley and Sons Inc. New York.
- Mohamed, H.A.A. (2020). Combining ability of newly developed white maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines via top cross analysis. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 47: 65-77.
- Mousa, S.Th.M.; Mohamed, H.A.A.; Aly, R.S.H. and Darwish, H.A. (2021). Combining ability of white maize inbred lines Via line × tester analysis. J. Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ., 12 : 109 – 113.
- Nigus, B. (2022). Combining ability studies from line × tester mating design for grain yield and its related traits of midaltitude maize inbred lines. Int. J. Food Sci. and Agric., 6: 64-75.
- Pavan, D.; Prakash, G. and Mallikarjuna,N. (2011). General and specific combining ability studies in single cross

hybrids of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Current Biotica., 5: 196-208.

- Rajesh, V.; Kumar, S.S.; Reddy, V.N. and Sankar, A.S. (2018). Combining ability and genetic action studies for yield and its related traits in maize (*Zea* mays L.). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci., 7: 2645-2652.
- Rodriguez, F.; Alvrado, G.; Pacheco, A.; Burgueno, J. and Cross, J. (2015). AGD -R (Analysis of genetic designs with R for windows), (El batan, Mexico: CIMMYT Res. Data Software Repository Network), 5: 14.
- SAS Institute (2008). Statistical Analysis system (SAS /STAT Program Version 9.1) SAS inst. Cary NC.
- Singh, M.; Dubey, R.B.; Ameta, K.D.; Haritwal, S. and Ola, B. (2017).

Combining ability analysis for yield contributing and quality traits in yellow seeded late maturing maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrid using line \times tester. J. Pharm. and Phyto., 6:112-118.

- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1989). Statistical Methods. 8th Ed., Iowa, State, Univ. Press. Ames, USA.
- Sprague, G.F. and Tatum, L.A. (1942). General *vs* specific combining ability in single crosses of corn. J. Ame. Soc. Agron., 34: 923-932.
- Tanumihardjo, S.; Laura Mc. Culley, A.; Rachel, R.; Lopez, R. and P.R. Natalia (2020). Maize agro-food systems to ensure food and nutrition security in reference to the sustainable development goals. Global Food Security, 25.

El-Gazar, et al.| SINAI Journal of Applied Sciences 13 (4) 2024 455-464

الملخص العربي

القدرة الائتلافية العامة والخاصة لسلالات بيضاء من الذرة الشامية للمحصول وبعض الصفات المرتبطة بها

إبراهيم عبد النبى إبراهيم الجزار، هانى عبد الله عبد المجيد محمد، أيمن سالم محمد الديب

قسم بحوث الذرة، معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية، مركز البحوث الزراعية، مصر

تم في هذه الدراسة التهجين ما بين أربعة عشر سلالة بيضاء مع ثلاثة من الكشافات وهي سلالة سخا ١٢ وسخا ٥ وسدس ٤٣ وذلك بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا خلال الموسم الصيفي ٢٠٢٢. تم تقييم ال ٤٢ هجين الناتجة بالإضافة الى أحد الهجن التجارية وهو هجين فردى ١٠ وذلك في ثلاث محطات بحثية وهي سخا وسدس والنوبارية خلال الموسم الصيفي ٢٠٢٣. أظهرت النتائج وجود اختلافات عالية المعنوية ما بين المواقع الثلاثة لكل الصفات تحت الدراسة، كذلك التباينات الراجعة للهجن ومجزئاتها (السلالات والكشافات والسلالة في الكشاف) وكذلك تفاعلاتها مع المواقع كانت معنوية او عالية المعنوية لمعظم الصفات. أظهرت السلالات والكشافات والملالة في الكشاف) وكذلك تفاعلاتها مع المواقع كانت على التالف مر غوبة لكل من صفات التبكير والنباتات القصيرة وارتفاع الكوز وكذلك السلالة 2-85 هدرة عامة كاله التباينات الراجعة للهجن ومجزئاتها (السلالات والكشافات والسلالة في الكشاف) وكذلك تفاعلاتها مع المواقع كانت معنوية او عالية المعنوية لمعظم الصفات. أظهرت السلالات 25/004/21 و25/007/32 ولكشاف 5-8 على التالف مر غوبة لكل من صفات التبكير والنباتات القصيرة وارتفاع الكوز وكذلك السلالة 25/004 كالهجن 28/13 كان محصول الحبوب وطول الكوز والسلالة 38/007/31 كل من محصول الحبوب، قطر الكوز. اظهرت الهجن 28/13 كلام محصول الحبوب والهجين 28/13 كامن محصول الحبوب، قطر الكوز. الهبرت 28/2005/20 كارة 28/2005/20 كارة 28/2005/20 لكل من محصول الحبوب، قطر الكوز والهجين 28/2004/26 كالكام محصول الحبوب والهجين 28/30 كامن محصول الدبوب، والهجين 28/30 الهجن 28/30 كارة والهجين 28/30 كان محصول الحبوب والهجين 28/30 كار الكوز. كذلك أظهرت ستة هجن تفوق عن هجين المقارنة (هجين فردى ١٠) وهي (38/3× 35/004/20)، 28/20 كارة (38/20×205/21)، (38/20×35/20)،(38/20)، 38/20) الكوز والهجين 28/30)، 39/20 كار الكوز. كذلك أظهرت ستة هجن تفوق عن هجين المقارنة (هجين فردى ١٠) وهي (38/20×35/20)، 39/20)، لقطر الكوز. كذلك أظهرت ستة هجن تفوق عن هجين المقارنة (هجين فردى ١٠) وهي (38/20×35/20)، 39/20)، (38/20)، (38/20)، (38/20)، (38/20)، 30/20) كار مدن ١٠) ولما التوبيم المونمة في برنامج تربية الذرة 30/20)، 30/20)، النك توصى هذه الدراسة باستخدام الهجن في مراحل التقيم المتقدمة في برنامج تربية الذرة 30/20).

الكلمات

| sayed_mansour_84@yahoo.es

| tharwatsamir900@gmail.com

REVIEWERS: Dr. Sayed Mansour Dept. Crops, Fac. Zag. Egypt. Dr. Samir Tharwat Dept. Cron, Agric. Res. Cent., Egypt. الاسترشادية: الذرة الشامية- القدرة العامة على الائتلاف – القدرة الخاصة على الائتلاف.